Case 1:07-cv-10914-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/08/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K	04 3 5 G 400 (A 3733)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
JOSEPH MARCHITELLO AND KATHLEEN MARCHITELLO		DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			O 1
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth h	nerein in additio	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individ

the Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\sum' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, JOSEPH MARCHITELLO AND KATHLEEN MARCHITELLO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. individual an	· ·	IARCHITELLO (hereinafter siding at 35 Lake Spring Dri	r the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an ive, Brewster, NY 10509			
	(OR)					
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent			
, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of						
Please read this document carefully.						

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Cas	se 1:07	-cv-10914-AKH I	Document	1 Filed 11/08/	2007	Page 2 of 11	
3. ☑ Plaintiff, Kathleen Marchitello (hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New York residing at 35 Lake Spring Drive, Brewster, NY 10509-, and has the following relationship to the Injured Plaintiff:							
	JOSEPH MARCHITELLO, and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss de to the injuries sustained by her husband (his wife), Plaintiff JOSEPH MARCHITELLO. □ Parent □ Child □ Other:						
4. Police Depart			1 to 11/30/			orked for New York	
I	Please b	e as specific as possil	ble when fil	ling in the following	g dates	and locations	
	.e., build	ding, quadrant, etc.)_		Approximately		until; hours per day; for	
From on or about 9/21/2001 until 11/30/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 12 days total.				Approximately days total. ===================================			
From on or ab Approximatel	oout	ty Medical Examiner' until hours per day; fo days total.	,	Non-WTC Site but plaintiff worked at dates alleged, for t	ilding of t the ado the hour	or location. The injured dress/location, for the s per day, for the total r, as specified below:	
Approximatel Approximatel	oout y y	until until hours per day; fo	; or		s of No		
	nis infor	mation on a separate locations, please ann				pace is needed to specify nformation.	
5.	Injured	d Plaintiff					
Was exposed to and breathed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated above;							
✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;					nd particulates on all		
Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;							
	\checkmark	Other: Not yet deter	mined				

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORT ORTHON ■ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
_ / ONLD IMIDE COMITMIT, D.I.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER: Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10914-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers: Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); \square Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.		
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:					
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10914-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:			Cardiovascular Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
\checkmark	Respiratory Injury: To be supplied.		✓	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			date Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC WORK. 10 be supplied at a later date			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
				dute
	Digestive Injury:			Other Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
		o .1		
Cassa				ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	W	111 1II U	ie future suffer the following compensable
	gcs. 			
√	Pain and suffering			
	- 1			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
	earning capacity			
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
	retirement benefits			
\checkmark	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
	rehabilitation			
V	Other:			
<u></u>	✓ Mental anguish			
	☑ Disability			
	✓ Medical monitoring			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Marchitello

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph Marchitello and Kathleen

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JOSEPH MARCHITELLO (AND WIFE, KATHLEEN MARCHITELLO), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at $\mathbf{M}.$ Dated, Yours, etc.,

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP